NATIONAL MONTHLY REFEREED JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY www.abhinavjournal.com

A STUDY OF THE STRUCTURAL, MORPHOLOGICAL AND ELECTROCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O₄ CATHODE MATERIAL

Paulos Taddesse Shibeshi¹ and Dr. V. Veeraiah²

¹M.Sc. Research Scholar, Department of Physics, College of Science and Technology, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India Email: kidspaul@gmail.com
²Professor, Department of Physics, College of Science and Technology, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India Email: v veeraiah@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The La substituted $LiMn_2O_4$ cathode material is prepared by two steps solidstate reaction method. Structural identification, surface morphology and electrochemical performance of the material are studied by X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and galvanostatic charge/discharge test. The XRD result shows that $LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O_4$ powder sample keeps the structure of $LiMn_2O_4$. No additional phase or impurity is detected. The SEM study reveals that $LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O_4$ has fairly uniform particle/grain size distribution and porous stricture. Further the electrochemical cell formed from $LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O_4$ cathode yields relatively high initial discharge capacity of 110.25 mAh/g close to 75% of its theoretical capacity. After 15 cycles, the elctrochemical cell retains 94.3% of its initial capacity. As compared with $LiMn_2O_4$, the La substituted material yields better cyclability or capacity retention performance. The observed results confirm that substitution of La for Mn is an effective method in improving crystallinity, structural stability and capacity retention performance of $LiMn_2O_4$.

Keywords: Solid-state reaction, XRD, SEM, FT-IR Spectroscopy, Galvanostatic charge-discharge test.

INTRODUCTION

The spinel LiMn₂O₄ is the most widely studied cathode material for application of lithiumion batteries, because of its high voltage source and high energy density [1-3]. However, LiMn₂O₄ suffers capacity fading during charge/discharge cycling [4-7]. The causes for the capacity loss of the material are also proposed by different researchers. The two basic factors which are responsible for the capacity loss of the material are Jahn-Teller distortion [8-10] due to the presence of high spin Mn³⁺ ions in the 3 V range and the manganese dissolution into the electrolyte [10,11]. To inhibit these problems, many efforts have been

VOLUME NO.2, ISSUE NO.5

NATIONAL MONTHLY REFEREED JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY www.abhinavjournal.com

made by the researchers. It has been shown that partial cation substitution for manganese in $LiMn_2O_4$ yields successful improvement of cycling performance. For instance, substitution of cations such as Co [11,12], Mg [13], Ni [14,15], Cr [16,17], Al [11,18], Fe [15] and Cu [17] for Mn exhibited greatly improved cycling performance of $LiMn_2O_4$.

The primary objective of this study is to investigate the effect of partial substitution of La^{3+} for Mn^{3+} on the structural morphological and electrochemical properties of $LiMn_2O_4$ material. A comparative study on the electrochemical properties of $LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O_4$ and $LiMn_2O_4$ is also performed.

Experimental

The pure LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O₄ powder sample is synthesized by two-steps solid-state reaction method at high temperature. A mixture of stoichiometric Li₂CO₃ (99%, Merck), MnO₂ (99%, Hymedia) and La₂O₃ (99.99%, Sigma-Aldrich) is initially ground in agate mortar for about three to four hours. After thorough homogenizing with some methanol added, pre-calcination is done at 500 °C for 5 hours in air with heating and cooling rates of 5 °C/min to remove the CO₂ gas. Further, the obtained powder is ground again for three to four hours followed by calcination at 800 °C for 24 hours in air with heating and cooling rates of 5 and 1 °C/min, respectively.

The working electrode is made by dissolving 90 wt.% $LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O_4$ active material, 7 wt.% carbon black and 3 wt.% polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) binder in n-methylpyrrolidon (NMP) solvent to form slurry. The slurry is then coated on an aluminum foil, followed by drying in vacuum oven at 110 °C for 24 hours. The electrochemical test cell is assembled in a glove box under a dry argon atmosphere. $LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O_4$ electrode is used as positive electrode and lithium foil as negative electrode. The electrolyte is a solution of 1M of $LiPF_6$ dissolved in ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate (EC/DMC) (1:1 volume ratio).

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the powder sample is performed with X-ray Phillips XPERT-PRO diffractometer fitted with Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54060$ Å) over a 2 θ range from 10° and 90°. The particles morphology is observed by scanning electron microscopy (ZEISS SEM). Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy of the sample is performed within wavenumber range from 400 to 4,000 cm⁻¹ by using IRPrestige-21instrument. Charge/discharge characterstics is tested by WonATech Potentiostat/galvanostat instrument in the range between 3.0 and 4.5 V at a charge/discharge rate of 0.1C.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

XRD Analysis

Fig. 1 shows the XRD pattern of $LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O_4$ sample after heating at 800 °C for 24 hours in air. The synthesized sample shows a single-phase spinel structure with Fd $\overline{3}$ m space group (according to JCPDS card). This indicates that partial replacement of La^{3+} for Mn^{3+} does not change the basic crystal structure of $LiMn_2O_4$. Also, highly intense sharp and narrow peaks are observed, which indicates the high crystallinity of the sample.

VOLUME NO.2, ISSUE NO.5

NATIONAL MONTHLY REFEREED JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY www.abhinavjournal.com



Fig. 1. XRD pattern of LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O₄ powder sample

The unit cell lattice parameter (a) of the sample is calculating by the least square fitting method from the d-spacing and Miller indices, hkl values of (440) diffraction peaks using [19,20],

$$a = d\sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2}$$

It is found to be 8.2311 Å, which is lower than the lattice parameter of $LiMn_2O_4$ (8.2314 Å) [21]. This is due to the stronger bond energy of Li^{3+} - O (799 KJ mol⁻¹) [22] than Mn^{3+} - O (402 KJmol⁻¹) [23]. On the hand the crystal size of $LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O_4$ is also calculated using the relation [20],

$$D = \frac{0.9\lambda}{\beta\cos\theta}$$

where λ is the wavelength of X-ray, θ is the Bragg angle, and β is the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of (111) diffraction peak in the cubic lattice. It is found to be 95.35 nm, which is lower than the crystal size of LiMn₂O₄ (96.4 nm) [21].

SEM Study: SEM study is employed to investigate the surface morphology of $LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O_4$ powder sample and the image at magnification of 100,000 X is shown in Fig. 2. As shown in the figure, the powder sample exhibits relatively uniform particle/grain size distribution, indicating the well developed crystallinity as confirmed by XRD pattern. In addition, the synthesized sample exhibited porous structure, which facilitates faster penetration of the electrolyte through the cathode materials.

FT-IR Spectroscopy Study: The room temperature FT-IR spectrum of $LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O_4$ powder is shown in Fig. 3. As shown in the figure, the IR spectrum mainly consists of two frequency bands centered at wavenumbers of about 627.13 and 527.75 cm⁻¹, corresponding to the asymmetrical stretching modes of MnO₆. The obtained band at higher wavenumber

VOLUME NO.2, ISSUE NO.5

NATIONAL MONTHLY REFEREED JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY www.abhinavjournal.com

of 627.13 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the asymmetric stretching modes Mn^{3+} –O group [24]. Additionally, the band at lower number of 527.75 cm⁻¹ is associated with the asymmetric stretching of Mn^{4+} – O in the octahedral site [24]. As compared with LiMn₂O₄ [21], the observed bands of LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O₄ material are shifted slightly towards higher wavenumber regions. This indicates that the substitution of La ions has led to the decrease in octahedral site radius by decreasing the concentration of Mn³⁺ into spinel lattice. The reason is the stronger bond energy between La and O as discussed above. This result is in good agreement with the smaller lattice parameter and crystal size obtained from the XRD pattern.









VOLUME NO.2, ISSUE NO.5

ABHINAV NATIONAL MONTHLY REFEREED JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY www.abhinavjournal.com

Charge/Discharge Study: The first charge/discharge curves of Li/LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O₄ electrochemical cell at constant current rate of C/10 between 3.0 and 4.5 V is shown in Fig. 4. It can be observed that the charge/discharge curves have two voltage plateaus at around 3.96 and 4.2 V. This indicates that both the insertion and extraction of lithium-ions proceed in two stages [25]. The first one is due to the removal of the lithium-ions from half of the tetrahedral sites and second plateau is due to the removal of the lithium ions from the other tetrahedral sites of LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O₄ cathode [25]. Further, the initial discharge capacity of LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O₄ obtained in this study is 110.25 mAh/g. However, LiMn₂O₄ is able to deliver the first discharge capacity of 121 mAh/g [21], which is greater than the La substituted sample. This is due to La substitution into the Mn site decreases the Mn³⁺ ions which contributes to the charge/discharge capacity.



Fig.4. the charge/discharge curves of Li/LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O₄ electrochemical cell

Cyclic Performance Study: Fig. 5 shows the cyclic behavior of Li/LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O₄ electrochemical cell in the voltage range 3.0 to 4.5 V. It is found that the cylability and the capacity retention performance of La substituted sample are better as compared with LiMn₂O₄. As discussed in the charge/discharge study, Li/LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O₄ electrochemical cell is able to deliver the initial discharge capacity of 110.25 mAhg⁻¹. After 15 cycles, it is able to retain a discharge capacity of 103.97 mAhg⁻¹, which is 94.3% of its initial discharge capacity. However, LiMn₂O₄ is able to retain 92.2% of its initial capacity after 10 cycles [21], which is lower than that of La substituted sample. The reason for the improved capacity retention performance is that the substitution of La for Mn in the 16d octahedral sites decreases the unit cell volume of the sample and consequently enhances the structural stability of the sample during charge/discharge processes. Also, the substitution process reduces the Mn³⁺ concentration in the sample as a result the effect of Jahn–Teller distortion

VOLUME NO.2, ISSUE NO.5

ABHINAV NATIONAL MONTHLY REFEREED JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY www.abhinavjournal.com

is reduced. Finally, from this study it is observed that the partial substitution of La for Mn is effective in improving the cyclability and capacity retention performance of $LiMn_2O_4$.



Fig. 5. Cycle performance of Li/LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O₄ electrochemical cell

CONCLUSION

The La substituted LiMn₂O₄ cathode material is successfully prepared by two steps solidstate reaction method. The XRD analysis confirms that the synthesized sample belongs to Fd $\overline{3}$ m space group. Moreover, LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O₄ sample has smaller lattice parameter and crystallite size than that of LiMn₂O₄. Further, the uniform particles/grains size distribution and porous structure of the sample are confirmed by SEM. From the FT-IR study of the powder sample, two distinct strong absorption peaks which are associated with the asymmetric stretching modes of MnO₆ are detected. Comparing with LiMn₂O₄ sample, larger band shift towards higher wavenumber is observed in LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O₄ sample. The electrochemical cell formed from LiLa_{0.01}Mn_{1.99}O₄ cathode exhibits 110.25 mAh.g⁻¹ discharge capacity during the first cycle. After 15 cycles, the cathode material is able to retain 103.97 mAh.g⁻¹ which is 94.3% of its initial capacity. From this study, it is observed that substitution of La for Mn into LiMn₂O₄ is very effective method in improving its crystallinity, structural stability and improving the capacity retention rate during cycling.

REFERENCES

- 1. C.M. Julien, M. Massot, Mater. Sci. and Eng, 97 (2003) 217-230.
- 2. Eftekhari, Solid State Ion., 167 (2004) 237–242.
- 3. G. T.-K. Fey, C.-Z. Lu, T. P. Kumar, J. of Power Sources 115 (2003) 332-345.
- 4. C. Wan, M. Wu, D. Wu, Powder Technol., 199 (2010) 154–158.
- 5. X. Wu, S. B. kin, j. of Power Source, 109 (2002) 53-57.
- 6. P. S. Lee, J.-Y. Lee, J. of Power Sources, 103 (2001) 86–92.

VOLUME NO.2, ISSUE NO.5

NATIONAL MONTHLY REFEREED JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY www.abhinavjournal.com

- G.G. Amatucci, A. Blyr, C. Sigala, P. Alfonse, J.M. Tarasconc, Solid State Ion., 104 (1997) 13–25.
- 8. R. Thirunakaran, A. Sivashanmugam, S. Gopukumar, R. Rajalakshmi, J. of Power Source, 187 (2009) 565–574.
- 9. N.V. Kosova, N.F. Uvarov, E.T. Devyatkina, E.G. Avvakumov, Solid State Ion., 135 (2000) 107-114.
- Y.F. Yuan, H.M. Wu, S.Y. Guo, J.B. Wu, J.L. Yang, X.L. Wang, J.P. Tu, Appl. Surf. Sci., 255 (2008) 2225–2229.
- 11. Z. Bakenov, I. Taniguchi, Solid State Ion, 176 (2005) 1027-1034.
- 12. J.S. Chen, C.M. Wang, J. of Power Source, 102 (2001) 21-28.
- 13. P. Singh, A. Sil, M. Nath, S. Ray, Ceram. Silik., 54 (1) (2010) 38-46.
- 14. C. YongLi, B. Wenjing, Y.Zheng, Z. QuanChao, S. Zhi, Adv. Mater. Res., 347-353 (2012) 290-300.
- 15. K. Amine, H. Tukamoto, H. yasuda, Y. Fujita, J. of Power Sources, 68 (1997) 604-608.
- C. Sigala, D. Guyomard, A. Verbaere, Y. Piffard, M. Tournoux, Solid State Ion., 81 (1995) 167-170.
- 17. R Thirunakaran, B R. Babu, N Kalaiselvi, P. Periasamy, T P kumar, N G Renganathan, M Raghavan and N Muniyandi, bull. Mater. Sci., Vol. 24, No. 1 (2001) 51–55.
- R. Thirunakaran, A. Sivashanmugam, S. Gopukumar, C. W. Dunnill, D. H. Gregory, J. of Phys. and Chem. of Solids, 69 (2008) 2082-2090.
- 19. T. Theivasanthi and M. Alagar, Arch. of Phys. Res., 1,2 (2010) 112-117.
- 20. R. Premanand, A. Durairajan, B. Haran, R. White and B. Popov, J. of the Electrochem. Soc., 149 (1), A54-A60 (2002).
- 21. Paulos T. S., V. Veeraiah, A.V. Prasada Rao, K. Vijaya Bab, Communicated to J. of ion., January 28, (2013).
- 22. K. Suryakala, K R. Marikkannu, G. P. Kalaignan, T.Vasudevan, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 3 (2008) 136 144.
- X. Jin, Z. Hua-li, C. Zhao-yong, P. Zhong-dong, H. Guo-rong, Trans. Nonferrous Met. China. 16 (2006) 467-472.
- N. Wu, B. Feng, D. Yang, J. Liu and W. Tian, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 7 (2012) 8299 8308.
- 25. R. P. Ramasamy, P. Ramadass, B. S. Haran, B. N. Popov, J. of Power Sources, 124 (2003) 155–162.

VOLUME NO.2, ISSUE NO.5