INPUTS USE RESPONSES AND ECONOMIC STRUCTURE OF PRINCIPAL CROPS IN OGUN STATE

O.O. Olayiwola

Research Scholar, Development Policy Centre (DPC), Ibadan, Nigeria
Email: olubodun_2008@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Cost and returns studies are backbone in the field of research in agricultural economics which is quite evident of the fact that all systematic research in our discipline started with cost studies not only in Nigeria but at abroad also. These studies went through various stages and were refined every time with the experience, looking to inputs use differences and the nature of instability in cost and returns of principal crops in Ogun State of Nigeria. Principal crops relative changes were worked out which gives better comparison than absolute changes. Crops to be covered for the research work are: wheat, soya bean, grams, paddy, lentil, cotton and mustard oil. Taking into consideration the importance of the cost and return studies for farm planning and policy decisions at the micro level, the present study was conducted to analyze input use responses and economic structure of principal crops in Ogun State.

Keywords: Responses, Principal Crops, Inputs Use, Ogun State and Nigeria

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture plays a dominant role in Nigeria Economy starting from the human civilization with the progress of agricultural practices, human are directly or indirectly depend on agriculture. The farmer society in Nigeria is not homogeneous in respect to social, economic and other developmental process. Apart from economics differentiation among the land ownership, productivity and application of improved production technologies, there are socio-economic gradations resulting from the deep rooted farming system. Agriculture is now growing on industrial footing. The present trend of the population growth is putting heavy pressure on the agriculture land especially on the face of the fact that with the growth of industries and modern civilization everything is increasing except agriculture land. The only alternatives to boost the economy now rest with increasing productivity and improved agricultural technology through adoption of improved varieties seed, frequent irrigation, use of insecticides and pesticides which involved expenditure toward production and created doubts in the mind of farmers. Taking into consideration the importance of the cost and return studies for farm planning and policy decisions at the micro level, the present study was conducted to analyze input use responses and economic structure of principal crops in Ogun State.

METHODOLOGY

The study was carried out in Ijebu-Ode Local Government of Ogun State, in Nigeria. Ijebu-Ode is a city located in South-western Nigeria. With an estimated population of 222,653

(2006 census survey), it is the second largest city in Ogun State after Abeokuta. In pre-colonial times, it was the capital of the Ijebu kingdom it is the city inhabited by the Ijebus, a sub-group of the Yoruba ethnic group who speak the Ijebu dialect of Yoruba. It is historically and culturally the headquarters of Ijebu land. The city is located 110km by road North-East of Lagos; it is within 100km of the Atlantic Oceans in the Eastern part of Ogun State and possesses a warm tropical climate. The behavior of principal crops relative changes was worked out by the method below which gives better comparison than absolute changes. Crops to be covered for the research work are: wheat, soya bean, grams, paddy, lentil, cotton and mustard oil. The following analytical method was used:

Absolute changes $= Y_N - Y_0$

Relative Change (RC %) $= \dfrac{Y_N - Y_O}{Y_O} \times 100$

Where,

$\quad Y_n =$ Triennium Current year i.e. average of last three years

$\quad Y_O =$ Triennium Base year i.e. average of first three years

Growth rates

Compound growth rates were estimated with the following exponential model using least square techniques which are given below:

$$Y = ab^t \ ....(2)$$

Where,

$\quad$ Y= Trend value of dependent variable (area/ total production/yield)

$\quad$ a = constant

$\quad$ b =Trend coefficient (slope of line)

$\quad$ t = time variable (Years)

The function takes the form of a linear equation in logarithmic and become log- linear as under:

$\quad$ Log Y= log a + log b………………………………………….............. (3)

$\quad$ Compound growth rate (CGR) = (Antilog b-1) $\times 100$……..............…… (4)

Test of significance

The results of empirical research must be put to test for their degree of acceptability. In statistics, these come under "test of significance". For testing the hypothesis, suitable statistical test are applied. These are applied to assess their significance at 1% and 5% level of significance for the period which is spelled out in the following section:

$P_1, P_2 and P_3$ Standard error (SE) =   …………………………………. (5)

Where,

$\quad$ r = coefficient of multiple determination

$\quad$ n= Number of observation

't 'test

To test the significance of b value of the trend equation,' t ' test was used.

$$t= \frac{\text{antilog b}}{\text{SE}} \quad \sim (n-2)\, d.f$$

Where,

b=independent variable

SE= Standard error.

d.f = degree of freedom

Source: (Corelli et. al. 2005)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 represented the detail analysis of economic production and the variation according to the Naira in cued during the cultivation of wheat and paddy crops. The Gross Income from cultivation of wheat was found to be N15631.9 while the Gross Income for paddy crop was N16802.78 respectively. There was slight difference in wheat and paddy crops despite the fact that they belongs to the same family kingdom (cereals crops).The variation in income during wheat and paddy crops cultivation was as a result of disparity in the distribution of inputs used during the cultivation in study area. The result was similar to India situation based on Suhag et.al. (2012) findings when conducted research that focused on technical efficiency of wheat farm in Northern India. The value of inputs use for paddy and wheat crops for different years was collected from cost of cultivation scheme report data and it was converted for the aggregate level by multiplying respective crop acreage for different years. The data shows that the value of seed used for wheat crops increased by 71.3 per cent and increased by 79.6 per cent for paddy crops. The data revealed that among the inputs considered for the study, the contribution of animal labour and manure was higher during the period (1990-91 to 2011-12). During the study period, the contribution of seeds was also notable and the value of the coefficient of multiple determinations (R2) was higher but no available information on rent value for wheat and paddy crops in table 1. The structural changes occurred in the absolute and relative changes for wheat and paddy crops during the cultivation have caused dramatically fluctuation in yield and gross income. Table 2 also described the pattern of inputs use and income fluctuation or variation between grams and lentil crops in Ogun State of Nigeria. The gross income of grams was found to be N 9638.23 while lentil gross income was N13354.7. The contribution of all inputs are higher in grams and lentil crops except fertilizer input(0.38) used during grams cultivation and rent value input (0.43) used in lentil crops that was not impressive to contribute the huge per cents to the total factor productivity growth in the state. Table 3 explained the contribution of different inputs used during the cultivation of soya bean, mustards oil and cotton crops. The soya bean gross income was found to be N13645.22, followed by cotton (N10766.20) and mustard oil (N11761) respectively. The rent value input contribution was higher in mustard oil and cotton (72 and 162 per cents) The reason may be as result of adoption of Bt cotton production technology in Ogun State. Cotton crops have the highest values in growth rate, followed by mustard oil, and soya bean in respect to simple and compound growth rate. The result is in line with Isakson findings (2004) that research on world productivity database with special reference to Nigeria, South Africa, India and China Agricultural system

database. In addition, when compared the three tables with reference to inputs use pattern and income distribution of all the selected principal crops for the study. The contributions of all inputs are higher in all the selected principal crops except fertilizer input that was not impressive to contribute the huge per cents to the productivity growth in the study area. It was as a result of unclear market linkage for the distribution of fertilizer across the state. The problem has made the farmers to diversify from use of fertilizer to manure. The data shows that the value of seed used for wheat crops increased by 71.3 per cent, followed by 79.6 per cent for paddy crops, 44.63 per cent for lentil crops, 54.46 per cent for mustard oil, 65.04 per cent for cotton crops and highest values of seeds was recorded in grams crops (143.1 per cent) and the lowest increased value of seed showed in soya bean (20.89 per cent). The data revealed that among the inputs considered for the study, the contribution of animal labour and manure was higher during the period (1990-91 to 2011-12) except in mustard oil that is bite low as compare with other selected principal crops. During the study period, the contribution of seeds was also notable and the value of the coefficient of multiple determinations (R^2) was higher in all the selected principal crops except lentil crop. The rent value input contribution was higher in mustard oil and cotton (79 and 83 per cents) but no available information on rent value for the rest of the principal crops. The reason may be as result of adoption of Bt cotton production technology in the study area. The contributions of all inputs are higher in all the selected principal crops except fertilizer input that was not impressive to contribute the huge per cents to the productivity growth in the state.

Table 1. Pattern of Input Use and Income of Cereal Crops in Ogun state

Cereals Crops		Indicators				
		AC (RC %)	CV	SGR	CGR	R2
Wheat	Seed	48.1(71.3)	18.16	2.45	2.65	0.84
	Fertilizer	44.8(118.3)	27.68	3.64	5.15	0.79
	Manure	2.59 (64.7)	85.02	12.18	23.30	0.94
	H.L	211.0 (128.7)	26.88	3.46	3.45	0.76
	A.L	42.5(230.8)	32.16	4.60	5.35	0.94
	R.V	-	-	-	-	-
Cost of Cultivation (N/ha)	A2	5289.2 (98.3)	33.22	4.06	4.48	0.69
	C3	8811.05 (88.11)	29.30	3.56	4.03	0.68
Cost of Production (N/qty)	A2	150 (73.18)	18.33	2.57	2.72	0.90
	C3	281.0(82.13)	24.41	3.10	3.47	0.74
Yield (qty/ha)		32.19(24.31)	53.26	6.25	7.08	0.96
Gross Income (N/ha.)		**15631.9 (185.4)**	**49.62**	**6.23**	**7.04**	**0.84**
Paddy	Seed	62.3(79.6)	19.27	2.66	2.68	0.88
	Fertilizer	21.7(40.3)	14.74	1.10	1.05	0.26
	Manure	15.7(18.36)	56.74	8.05	10.51	0.92
	H.L	359.5(173.5)	30.99	4.02	4.91	0.77
	A.L	211.0(128.4)	26.88	3.46	3.45	0.76
	R. V	-	-	-		-
Cost of Cultivation (N/ha)	A2	1051.16 (21.95)	21.95	9.94	11.05	0.41

Table 1. Pattern of Input Use and Income of Cereal Crops in Ogun state (Contd….)

Cereals Crops		Indicators				
		AC (RC %)	CV	SGR	CGR	R2
	C3	1354.55(12.95)	6.93	0.60	0.62	0.34
Cost of Production (N/qty)	A2	338.53(82.13)	37.17	5.00	6.40	0.83
	C3	317.54(92.21)	21.44	2.64	3.04	0.70
Yield (qty/ha)		11.95(55.38)	23.81	0.30	0.49	0.71
Gross Income (N/ha.)		**16802.78 (176.84)**	**49.62**	**14.96**	**13.62**	**0.83**

Table 2. Pattern of Input Use and Income of Legume Crops in Ogun State

Legume Crops		Indicators				
		AC (RC %)	CV	SGR	CGR	R2
Grams	Seed	82.0(143.1)	24.54	3.36	3.77	0.86
	Fertilizer	36.3(97.4)	20.86	1.90	2.15	0.38
	Manure	2.58(5.56)	77.99	11.17	22.19	0.94
	H.L	285.6(84.02)	17.84	2.45	2.60	0.87
	A.L	46.5(19.78)	37.12	5.29	6.51	0.93
	R. V	-	-	-	-	-
Cost of Cultivation (N/ha)	A2	1377.57(32.86)	12.56	1.54	1.61	0.69
	C3	2808.30(32.46)	12.62	1.62	1.69	0.75
Cost of Production (N/qty)	A2	567.38(134.22)	32.31	4.47	4.88	0.88
	C3	141.09(12.01)	19.02	5.34	5.47	0.72
Yield (qty/ha)		4.49(49.14)	16.15	2.18	2.18	0.84
Gross Income (N/ha.)		**9638.23(63.12)**	**23.67**	**6.21**	**7.19**	**0.77**
Lentil	Seed	23.46(44.63)	13.11	1.14	1.11	0.55
	Fertilizer	40.38(313.1)	40.75	5.98	7.02	0.99
	Manure	-	-	-	-	-
	H.L	217.6(137.7)	28.30	4.07	4.18	0.95
	A.L	42.80(348.1)	43.18	6.27	7.70	0.97
	R. V	27.05(20.93)	10.55	1.02	1.08	0.43
Cost of Cultivation (N/ha)	A2	1476.90(50.96)	17.46	2.32	2.46	0.81
	C3	343.45(41.75)	20.59	1.09	1.39	0.99
Cost of Production (N/qty)	A2	383.10(123.54)	27.31	3.83	4.23	0.90
	C3	498.59(68.36)	18.79	2.00	2.31	0.52

Table 2. Pattern of Input Use and Income of Legume Crops in Ogun State (Contd….)

Legume Crops		Indicators				
		AC (RC %)	CV	SGR	CGR	R2
Yield (qty/ha)		4.09(53.35)	23.81	1.60	1.57	0.86
Gross Income (N/ha)		**13354.7 (187.6)**	**21.31**	**2.56**	**2.96**	**0.66**

Note: H. L = Human Labour, R.V = Rent Value, A.L = Animal Labour

Table 3. Pattern of Input Use and Income of Oil & Cotton Crops in Ogun State

Oil Crops & Cotton		Indicators				
		AC (RC %)	CV	SGR	CGR	R2
Soya bean	Seed	16.3(20.93)	6.85	0.49	0.54	0.84
	Fertilizer	27.29(57.2)	17.12	1.39	1.45	0.31
	Manure	15.57(50.6)	57.11	8.14	10.58	0.93
	H.L	203.6(127)	26.41	3.44	3.46	0.78
	A.L	42.07(21.8)	32.28	4.63	5.30	0.95
	R.V	-	-	-	-	-
Cost of Cultivation (N/ha)	A2	1599(33.3)	12.90	1.71	1.75	0.81
	C3	3327(35.5)	14.70	1.92	1.95	0.78
Cost of Production (N/qty)	A2	395(127.4)	26.60	3.85	4.20	0.96
	C3	336(51.3)	14.07	1.92	2.05	0.86
Yield (qty/ha)		4.23(55.5)	13.98	2.06	3.02	0.90
Gross Income (N/ha)		**13645.22 (139.52)**	**26.06**	**11.61**	**16.54**	**0.82**
Mustard Oil	Seed	15.75(54.4)	1.10	7.53	8.64	0.89
	Fertilizer	43.32(59.8)	25.82	2.85	3.50	0.56
	Manure	-2.58(-46.5)	40.92	-4.87	-4.54	0.65
	H.L	295(116.2)	23.62	2.87	3.35	0.68
	A.L	220(141.2)	27.77	3.78	3.78	0.85
	R.V	147.9(72.5)	19.15	2.67	2.83	0.79
Cost of Cultivation (N/ha)	A2	2563(74.1)	46.26	3.46	3.69	0.66
	C3	9305(109.1)	30.76	3.99	4.57	0.78
Cost of Production (N/qty)	A2	330(94.12)	27.45	3.46	3.81	0.73
	C3	514(76.5)	17.17	2.02	2.32	0.63
Yield (qty/ha)		4.01(127.7)	24.48	3.30	3.80	0.83
Gross Income (N/ha)		**11761 (164.6)**	**84.60**	**10.91**	**19.41**	**0.77**
Cotton	Seed	37.3(65.04)	16.53	2.11	2.35	0.75
	Fertilizer	29.0(62.1)	16.57	1.52	1.52	0.39
	Manure	16.32(18.8)	65.81	9.29	12.33	0.92

Table 3. Pattern of Input Use and Income of Oil & Cotton Crops in Ogun State (Contd….)

Oil Crops & Cotton		Indicators				
		AC (RC %)	CV	SGR	CGR	R2
	H.L	318(102.4)	21.21	2.76	3.13	0.78
	A.L	46.4(84.5)	30.48	4.32	4.84	0.92
	R. V	249(162.7)	35.56	4.78	4.68	0.83
Cost of Cultivation (N/ha)	A2	5289(98.3)	33.22	4.06	4.48	0.69
	C3	8811(88.11)	29.30	3.56	4.03	0.68
Cost of Production (N/qty)	A2	728(96.6)	25.93	3.31	3.76	0.75
	C3	998.5(33.9)	38.32	8.09	12.90	0.80
Yield (qty/ha)		9.49(42.2)	21.17	10.24	10.05	0.81
Gross Income (N/ha)		**10766.20 (304.26)**	**68.27**	**18.76**	**20.27**	**0.76**

Note: H. L = Human Labour, R.V = Rent Value, A.L = Animal Labour.

SUGGESTIONS

The following suggestions emerged from the study and they are:

- There is a need for clear cut market supply for fertilizer distribution in Ogun state of Nigeria

- There are needs for government to subsidies price of seeds and to encourage more hybrid seeds that enhanced more outputs.

- Adequate farm inputs must be made available at cheap price to the farmers and government must follow a clear channel supply systems of inputs.

- Frequency of extension visits should be increased to encourage wider spread and adoption of improved farm technology.

- Strengthening support and Public-Private Partnership so as to boost production and win niche markets with a challenge of making better markets for farmers, while at the same time ensuring that production technologies adopted is more environmentally sustainable.

REFERENCES

1. Bosworth Barry, Collins Susan M.(2007), "Accounting for Growth: Comparing China and India", Working Paper 12943, National Bureau of Economic Research.

2. Carlaw Kenneth I; Mawson Peter; McLellan Nathan, "Productivity Measurement: Alternative Approaches and Estimates", June 2003, New Zealand Treasury Working Paper 03/12.

3. Coelli Tim J., Rao D.S. Prasada (2003), "Total Factor Productivity Growth in Agriculture: A Malmquist Index Analysis of 93 Countries1980-2000", Centre for Efficiency and Productivity Analysis, Working Paper Series, No. 02/2003, School of Economics, University of Queensland Australia.

4. Coelli Tim J., Rao D.S. Prasada, O'Donnell Christopher J., Battesse George E. (2005), "An Introduction to Efficiency and Productivity Analysis (Second Edition)", Springer.

5. Forstner Helmut and Isaksson Anders (2002), "Productivity, Technology, and Efficiency: An analysis of the world technology frontier when memory is infinite", SIN Working Paper Series, Working Paper no. 7, February 2002, Statistics and Information Networks branch of UNIDO.

6. Goyal S.K., Suhag K.S. (2012), "Estimation of Technical Efficiency on Wheat Farms in Northern India- A Panel Data Analysis", Paper presented at International Farm Management Congress- 'Farming at the Edge'.

7. Isaksson Anders (2007), "World Productivity Database: A Technical Description", Research and Statistics Branch, staff working paper 10/2007, December 2007, United Nations Industrial Development Organization.

8. Isaksson Anders and Ng Thiam Hee (2006), "Determinants of Productivity: Cross-Country Analysis and Country Case Studies", Staff working paper 01/2006, Research and Statistics Branch, UNIDO, October 2006.

9. Ray Subhash C. (2004), "Data Envelopment Analysis: Theory and Techniques for Economics and Operations Research", Cambridge University Press.